# UNITED STATES DISTRIC COURT

District of Massachusetts 1 courthouse way, suite 2300 Boston, Massachusetts. 02210 617-748-9152

W CLERKS OFFICE 2006 MAR 13 P 2: 29

U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASS Case No:05CV11638-JLT

Rashid Jahm

Pro se

**Plaintiff** 

Jury Trial Demanded

Great American insurance Manager Claim Dept Great American Insurance Professional Division 2435 North Central expressway Suite 1400 Richardson, TX. 75080

Phone: (972) 437-7115 Claim No.490503-53

United state federal court case No. 05CV11638-JLT

Previous Claim: 6000071

Circuit court Case No. 02-10523-NI

# Plaintiff's request for default judgment against Great American insurance for failure to answer to Summon

Plaintiff is requesting to Hon. Court to enter default judgments on defendant Great American insurance because Great American insurance has failed to answer to plaintiff's complaint, summon and other responses dated 11-7-05 even plaintiff called to remind for good cause that plaintiff has serve you summon one 11-7-05 on your old address and when plaintiff find out you have moved to new location and plaintiff has served at new address on 1-03-06 which was sign by E. Muller signed on return receipt. Affidavit from Plaintiff on Defendant EXHIBIT (A) Page 7 Plaintiff filed affidavit of delivery with date and sign by defendant office person, and declaration supporting the application that the party Great American insurance has failed to plead and defend. And plaintiff is seeking default against great American insurance (Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a); Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7055).

Defendant Great American insurance is the insurer under **Professional liability** of Craig Noland and his law firm Smith, Haughey, Rice & Roegge under policy No; LPL 3559237-0. Defendant Great American insurance had intentional.

maliciously, refused to give claim No to plaintiff for law suit against Craig Noland in circuit court case 02-10523-NI Craig Noland falsified to great American insurance which is mentioned on letter to plaintiff on 3-6-03 by Sangeeta the claim attorney of great American insurance Professional Liability Division. She refused to give plaintiff claim No.EXHIBIT (A) Page 1 2, 3. In order to get Claim No plaintiff has to approach to Michigan State insurance Div. And finally insurance give claim. Craig Noland told his insurer that case has been dismissed. That I filed the same case which was not true first case was about car accident which give rise to second law suit against Craig Noland EXHIBIT (A) Page 1. 2, 6 and convince to Sangeeta not to give plaintiff policy detail of Craig Noland what is cover and what kind of coverage he has under his Professional Liability insurance. But great American refuses to give me policy detail.

Craig Noland Falsified to great American insurance that plaintiff has filed same case which was not true second case was about his misconduct and his violation of duty of care which he owe to plaintiff. In committing the acts of which reference is made in this complaint, Defendants have acted willfully, maliciously, wantonly, oppressively, intentionally, knowingly, fraudulently, in bad faith, and with reckless disregard of the consequences and with such entire want of care as raises the presumption of conscious indifference and malice toward Plaintiff's such as to entitle Plaintiffs to punitive damages of Ten Millions Dollars or Bar him from practice of Law for life under united state law; further, that Defendants acted with the specific intent to cause serious harm to Plaintiffs. Defendants' conduct was intentional and reckless and designed to cause severe damage to Plaintiffs. Further, Defendants' conduct was extreme and outrageous as those terms are defined in united state law and without justifiable legal excuse. Plaintiffs have suffered severe emotional distress because of Defendants' actions and plaintiff has been unable to maintain mental feeling of closures of the case. Defendant Mr. Craig Noland insulting plaintiff, I am sure in justice opinion will be disgraceful and hurtful toward plaintiff. Defendant racist, unwarranted, vicious attack on plaintiff was inhuman. Defendant causes plaintiff to question the purpose of

personal protected order. He is liable of all the suffering that plaintiff went through. His attacks on plaintiff were inhuman and irrelevant to the case. And acting above the law in the court encouraged and applauded by the trial judge and silently approved his purposeless and malicious actions. Hate, bigotry, and incivility did advance the cause of destroy legal right and constitutional right.

- 1. Strict Liability,
- 2. Fraud and Misrepresentation,
- 3. Conspiracy to Misrepresent, alter document and Commit fraud,
- 4. Violation of constitutional right, violation of due process
- 5. Intentional Infliction of emotional Distress,
- 6. Extortion,
- Slander per Se.
- 8. Defamation
- 9. Libelous
- 10. Violation of MRC. 9.104(3) (4)

Filling of claim with insurance under professional insurance code instead of Auto accident insurance claim code with police car of Oct. 31. 1999.

Getting thousands of dollar checks from insurance company issuing on plaintiff name and never inform plaintiff and did not give any penny to plaintiff. Where are those checks? Why plaintiff was not informed?

Defendant great American Insurance.

- 11 Refuse to accept claim form professional malpractice claim.
- 12 And refuse to give me information about his type of insurance.
- 13 And refuse to give me information about what kind of professional insurance coverage he carries. And what is cover.

Great American insurance is liable for \$100,000 to plaintiff for not cooperating with Plaintiff. Plus other fees or expenditure might occur.

#### RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays that this Court:

- B. Plaintiff relief is more than \$75000
- C. Award plaintiff default judgment against great American insurance
- D. Grant such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Very Respectfully Submitted

DT: 03-10-06

Rashid Jahm 49 Hallenan Ave Lawrence, MA.01841 (978) 258-9419

CC:

Document mailed by us postal to all Patrick Dolan John A. Christopher Stephen J. Duggan Juliana deHaan rice George L. McCarger Mark E. Donnelly (P39281) Pro Hac Vice Robert P. Powers, Thomas R. Meagher Judy E. Bregman

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

#### Dear Clerk

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing document was served on all know parties herein by causing a copy of the same to be mailed, postage prepaid

on March 10, 06.

Dated: 03-10-06

Rashid jahn 49 Hallenan Awe

Lawrence, MA.01841

978-258-9419

Professional Liability Division 1755 North Collins Boulevard LB #506 Richardson, TX 75080-3638 972.437.7101 ph 800.531.2297 972.437.7144 fax

Sangeeta Kuruppillai Claims Attorney



March 6, 2003

Certified Mail #7002 2410 0005 3155 3567

Rashid Jahm 3009 Eastern SE, Apt. 303E Grand Rapids, Michigan 49508

RE: Insured: Smith, Haughey, Rice & Roegge, P.C. Craig R. Noland)

Dear Mr. Jahm:

This letter is in response to your letter dated February 12, 2003 asserting a claim against Craig R. Noland with the firm of Smith, Haughey, Rice & Roegge, P.C. Your letter states that you are filing a claim against Mr. Noland for "defamation, falsifying statement, tampering with photo of the scene, Altering documents, Accusation, Humiliating, Slandering, Per Se, Libelous, Violations of Civil Right, Violation of Due Process, Violations of bill of right and Violations of MRC.9.104(3)(4)(6)."

Based upon my review of the claim, I am denying your claim against Mr. Noland. Mr. Noland has never represented you in any matter. Mr. Noland represented the City of Walker and one of its police officer in a case brought by you arising from a motor vehicle accident; thus, Mr. Noland did not represent you, but the parties you sued. The case was tried to a jury in August of 2002 and the jury returned a verdict in favor of the City and the police officer.

You subsequently filed suit against Mr. Noland on October 22, 2002 setting forth the same allegations as set forth in your letter to Great American Insurance dated February 12, 2003. The suit against Mr. Noland was recently dismissed by the court in its entirety. Your claim against Mr. Noland is without merit and it is being denied.

Sincerely yours,

Sangeeta S. Kuruppillai

Claims Attorney

SSK/dla

Case 1:05-cv-11638-JLT

J. E. Ted Turner Claims Attorney

Professional Liability Division 1755 North Collins Blvd. LB #506 Richardson, TX 75080-3638 972.437.7139 ph 972.437.7144 fax 800.531.2297 toll-free



Page 6 of 12

INSURANCE GROUP

Filed 03/13/2006

April 15, 2003

### VIA FACSIMILE 517-241-3991

Ms. Carmen Holben, Analyst Michigan Division of Insurance Consumer Services P.O. Box 30220 Lansing, MI 48909-7720

RE:

Rashid Jahm

Your File No. 52837-001

Insured: Smith, Haughey, Rice & Roegge

Dear Ms. Holben:

I am in receipt of your letter of April 4, 2003 regarding the complaint filed by Rashid Jahm. We have investigated this matter and can provide you the following response.

Document 51

..!13 Li

We are the legal malpractice carrier for Smith, Haughey, Rice & Roegge ("Smith Haughey"), a law firm. We do not insure Mr. Jahm

Smith Haughey turned in a claim to us being asserted by Mr. Jahm against it. We began investigating this matter and learned that Mr. Jahm had previously filed a lawsuit against Smith Haughey and that this lawsuit had been dismissed by a trial court in Michigan. Although the lawsuit has been dismissed, Mr. Jahm, without the aid of a lawyer, wants to refile the same lawsuit against Smith Haughey. We discussed this matter at length with Smith Haughey and discussed the matter on numerous occasions with Mr. Jahm, trying to explain to him that his claim against the law firm had been decided against him by the court. We encouraged Mr. Jahm to hire a lawyer if he intended to pursue the claim against the law firm. We never refused to provide him a claim number, and we have a file set up on this matter.

It was our insured's belief, which with we agree, that the claim was baseless and that no payment should be made under the law firm's legal malpractice liability policy.

I hope this is sufficient information for you to evaluate this matter and close your file. If you have any other questions regarding this matter, please feel free to contact me directly at (972) 437-7139 and I will be glad to assist you in any way I can.

Claims Attorney

cc: Thomas F. Blackwell
Smith, Haughey, Rice & Roegge, P.C.
200 Calder Plaza Bldg.
250 Monroe Avenue, N. W.
Grand Rapids, MI 49503-2251

Professional Liability Division 1755 North Collins Boulevard LB #506 Richardson, TX 75080-3638 972,437,7153 ph 800.531.2297 972,437,7144 fax

Steve C. Couch Assistant Vice President Claims



July 2, 2003

Mr. Rashid Jahm 3009 Eastern SE, Apt. 303E Grand Rapids, MI 49508

RE: Our Insured: Smith, Haughey, Rice & Roegge

Policy No:

LPL 3559237-01

Dear Mr. Jahm:

Your letter of June 24, 2003 addressed to Carl Linder has been forwarded to my attention for a response. This letter will confirm our previously representations to you that our Company insures the law firm of Smith, Haughey, Rice and Roegge, P.C. and its partners and associates, including Attorney Craig Noland, under a Legal Professional Liability Policy, policy number LPL 3559237-01, effective from November 4, 2002 to November 4, 2003. Although we have not assigned a formal claim number to this matter, we have opened an incident file internally in connection with your claim against Mr. Noland. As you may or may not be aware, we permitted Mr. Noland and his firm to defend themselves in connection with your claim against Mr. Noland.

It is our understanding that your claim against Mr. Noland was resolved by Court Orders dated January 7 and January 14, 2003, copies of which are attached hereto. As you can see from the Court's January 7, 2003 Order, the Court granted the Defendants', including Craig Noland's, Motions for Summary Disposition and Summary Judgment, thereby resolving your claims in favor of Mr. Noland. It is my understanding that those Orders are final.

I have consulted with our Insured concerning your request to provide you with a copy of its insurance policy and/or the details of its insurance coverage. Our Insured has adamantly objected to providing you any such information. Accordingly, we must respectfully deny your request for further information regarding our Insured's insurance policy, other than the acknowledgement that the Insured is presently insured by Great American Insurance Company under the above-referenced Legal Professional Liability Insurance Company.

LI

Rashid A. Jahm July 2, 2003 Page 2

Consequently, as the Court has ruled on the claims asserted by you against Mr. Noland by granting Mr. Noland a Summary Disposition and Summary Judgment thereon, we must respectfully deny your claim.

Sincerely yours,

Steven C. Couch

Asst. Vice President - Claims

SCC:bb Enclosures Corporate Legal Department 580 Walnut Street Cincinnati, OH 45202-3180 513.369.5013 ph 513.369.3655 fax



June 27, 2003

Mr. Jahm Rashid 3009 Eastern SE Apt. 303E Grand Rapids, Michigan 49508

Re: SMITH, HAUGHEY, RICE & ROEGGE

POLICY NO. LPL 3559237

Dear Mr. Rashid:

This will acknowledge receipt of your letter dated June 24, 2003, received in our office on June 27, 2003, regarding the above-referenced file.

As this involves a Professional Liability Division Claims Handling matter handled by our Richardson, Texas office, I am referring your correspondence to that office for investigation and resolution. You should be receiving a response from that office conforming to the requirements of your letter.

If I may be of further assistance, please let me know.

Very truly yours,

Great American Insurance Company

Susan M. Papucci

**Customer Service Coordinator** 

cc:

Carter Hampton (original)
Great American Insurance Company
Legal Professional Liability
1755 North Collins, LB 506
Richardson, Texas 75080



JENNIFER M. GRANHOLM GOVERNOR

# STATE OF MICHIGAN OFFICE OF FINANCIAL AND INSURANCE SERVICES DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER & INDUSTRY SERVICES DAVID C. HOLLISTER, DIRECTOR

RONALD C. JONES, JR. ACTING COMMISSIONER

April 23, 2003

Mr. Rashid Jahm 3009 Eastern SE, Apt. 303E Grand Rapids, MI 49508

Dear Mr. Jahm:

SUBJECT: Consumer Services File Number: 52837-001

Company/Entity Contacted: Great American Insurance Company

This is in follow up to the additional information you provided regarding a insurance complaint against Mr. Craig Noland.

Per the attached response from Great American, they already have a file regarding this matter. I understand that you previously had a lawsuit against Smith, Haughey, Rice & Roegge and that this suit was dismissed. However, it appears that you are re-filing the same lawsuit.

Our authority is over the insurance company. With the additional information you have provided, Great American has acknowledged that you have/had an existing claim number. That is the extent of our authority in this matter.

Thank you for allowing us to be of assistance to you. Your file is now closed.

Sincerely,

Carmen Silvas Holben

Analyst, Consumer Services

Enclosure

Case 1:05-cv-11638-JLT Document 51 For delivery Plaintiff Rashed John how de liver the complai and summon on Defendant Great American Insurance and the swiners place al Jim carlier 2435 North conteral Expressiony Suite 1400 Riehardson, Tx. 75080 and proof of service is Allached with The summors Respectfully Amil Mys Resolved Joelm Mys Prose 1-31-06